MINUTES OF THE SYDNEY EAST REGION JOINT REGIONAL PLANNING PANEL MEETING HELD AT PLANNING ASSESSMENT COMMISSION ON WEDNESDAY, 15 JUNE AT 1.30PM

PRESENT:

John Roseth	Chair
David Furlong	Panel Member
Mary-Lynne Taylor	Panel Member
Con Hindi	Panel Member
Philip Sansom	Panel Member

IN ATTENDANCE

Tina Christy Hurstville Council

APOLOGY: NIL

1. The meeting commenced at 1.32pm

2. Declarations of Interest - NIL

3. Business Items

ITEM 1 - 2011SYE018 – Hurstville - 11/DA-21 - Mixed retail/residential development containing 3 buildings with ground floor retail area, 292 residential units and remediation of site - 93 Forest Road, Hurstville

5. Public Submission -

Chris Johnson, Architecture and Urban Design Consultant Ian Armstrong, director Kann Finch Group, Project Architect

6. Business Item Recommendations

ITEM 1 - 2011SYE018 - Hurstville - 11/DA-21 - Mixed retail/residential development containing 3 buildings with ground floor retail area, 292 residential units and remediation of site - 93 Forest Road, Hurstville

- 1) The Panel resolves by a majority of 4 to 1 (for: John Roseth, Mary Lynne Taylor, David Furlong and Philip Sansom; against: Con Hindi) that it would grant consent to the application subject to the removal of one typical residential floor from each of the buildings A, B and E.
- 2) The Panel requests the council's planning assessment officer to prepare appropriate conditions of approval on or before 15 July 2011 and the applicant to comment on these by 29 July 2011. The conditions are to include the requirement to remove one typical residential floor from Buildings A, B and E.

- 3) The Panel requests the council and the applicant to reach agreement, on or before 12 August 2011, on the Voluntary Planning Agreement offered by the applicant.
- 4) Following the above the Panel will consult by electronic means of communication to determine the application, unless, in its opinion, a further public meeting is necessary.
- 5) Councillor Hindi voted against approval because
 - a) the changes would increase traffic;
 - b) the Land and Environment Court's refusal of the s96 application;
 - c) the project is intended to be a "mini-suburb" including commercial, retail and residential;
 - d) the staff's recommendation for refusal; and
 - e) the additional floor area proposed is above the requirement of the existing DCP.
- 6) While Councillor Sansom shares Councillor Hindi's concerns, he believes that the majority decision of the Panel is a reasonable compromise.

The meeting concluded at 2.55pm.

Endorsed by John Roseth Chair, Sydney East Joint Regional Planning Panel 15 June 2011